



RECEIVED

PATENT
3430-0166P

FEB 25 2002
IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Applicant: Jong-Weong MOON Conf.: 3942
Appl. No.: 09/801,645 Group: 2871
Filed: March 9, 2002 Examiner: M. TON
For: LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY DEVICE HAVING
CHOLESTERIC LIQUID CRYSTAL

LARGE ENTITY TRANSMITTAL FORM

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

February 22, 2002

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is a Reply to Restriction/Election Requirement in the above-identified application.

- ☐ The enclosed document is being transmitted via the Certificate of Mailing provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.8.
- ☐ Petition for _____ () month(s) extension of time pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.17 and 1.136(a). \$0.00 for the extension of time.
- ☒ No fee is required.
- ☐ A check in the amount of \$0.00 is enclosed.
- ☐ Please charge Deposit Account No. 02-2448 in the amount of \$0.00. A triplicate copy of this sheet is attached.

Appl. No. 09/801,645

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By 

Joseph A. Kolasch, #22,463

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

JAK/clb
3430-0166P

(Rev. 09/27/01)



RECEIVED

PATENT
3430-0166P

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Jong-Weong MOON
Appl. No.: 09/801,645
Filed: March 9, 2002
For: LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY DEVICE HAVING CHOLESTERIC LIQUID CRYSTAL

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800
Conf.: 3942
Group: 2871
Examiner: M. TON

#7/Election
March
2/26/02

LARGE ENTITY TRANSMITTAL FORM

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

February 22, 2002

Sir:

In response to the Examiner's Office Action dated January 23, 2002, the following remarks are respectfully submitted in connection with the above-identified application.

REMARKS

The Examiner has made a requirement for election of species between the species of I and II as set forth in paragraph 1 of the Office Action letter. If species I is elected by the Applicant, the Examiner has made a further election requirement as set forth in subparagraph 1a as stated in paragraph 2 of the Office Action letter. This requirement for an election of species is respectfully traversed.

Because of the very close interrelationship between all of the species of the present application, it is believed that all of said species are properly examinable as a single invention in the present application. However, in order to comply with the Examiner's requirement, the Applicants specifically elect to prosecute species Ia as set